Food Systems at a Crossroads

Industrial agriculture, characterized by concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs) and vast swatches of genetically engineered (GE) monocrops, are touted as necessary to feed the world. Yet, not long ago, it was up to small family farms to provide the food for nearby communities and ensure food security for the U.S. During a presentation at the 10th Annual Farm and Food Leadership Conference, John Ikerd, author of Farm Policy at a Crossroads: A Time to Choose, explained: 

"U.S. farm policies from the 1930s through the 1960s were premised on the proposition that food security could best be assured by keeping independent family farmers on the land. Family farmers had been the cultural foundation of American society and were committed to maintaining the productivity of their land — not only for the benefit of their families and communities but also for the food security of their nation."

Since the 1970s, however, farm policies have overwhelmingly favored the consolidation and industrialization of agriculture and the food supply. Federal farm subsidies, tax credits, crop insurance, price supports and disaster payments favor industrial agriculture and the streamlined production of cheap food. Unfortunately, while the transition has succeeded in providing inexpensive food, it has failed in virtually every other measurable parameter. Ikerd continued: "In spite of reducing the percentage of the average needed (GE) corn is one of the top four most heavily subsidized food crops, so farmers have every reason to plant plenty of it. Unfortunately, since corn is a grain, it breaks down to sugar very rapidly and typically increases your insulin resistance if regularly consumed. Elevated insulin levels in turn are linked to most chronic degenerative diseases, including everything from obesity and diabetes to premature aging. In 2016, 15 billion bushels of corn were grown in the U.S. alone, and it's expected to make up 68 percent of the projected U.S. harvest of grains and oilseeds in 2017.

The intensive corn farming has pushed out many other crops, changing the landscape even in the last 25 years. In North Dakota, for instance, where crops such as wheat, barley and sunflowers were once prevalent, now corn is king. Far from providing Americans with critical nutrition, U.S. agricultural policies contribute to the declining health of Americans and worsen the out-of-control obesity epidemic. Current farm subsidies bring you GE high-fructose corn syrup, soybean oil, fast food, junk food, grain-fed beef raised in CAFOs, monoculture and a host of other contributors to our unhealthy contemporary diet. The farm subsidies are what's keeping the wheel of this and other unhealthy and cheap food ingredients rolling. Meanwhile, according to Ikerd,

"Diabetes, heart disease, hypertension and various diet-related cancers are projected to claim about [$1 for every $5] spent for health care in the United States by 2020 — erasing virtually all of the gains in public health over the past several decades."

In addition to the corn that's used as cheap fillers in food or for use as animal feed, many farmers turned to growing corn crops for ethanol. Sadly, environmentally beneficial grasslands have been plowed under to make room for more ethanol-producing crops (i.e., corn), even though they're not as good for the environment as once believed. In fact, research shows biofuels such as corn ethanol are not carbon neutral; they're associated with a net increase in carbon dioxide emissions — even worse than gasoline. A meta-analysis of 350 studies conducted by the International Panel of Experts on Sustainable Food Systems (IPES-Food) recommended a paradigm shift from
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Broccoli and broccoli sprouts have potent anticancer activity courtesy of sulforaphane, a naturally occurring organic sulfur, and other chemoprotective compounds. Studies have shown sulforaphane: supports normal cell function and division and acts as an immune stimulant; causes programmed cell death (apoptosis) in colon, prostate, breast and disease and kidney disease. Aside from sulforaphane, broccoli contains several other health-promoting nutrients and compounds, including:

- **Fiber**, which helps nourish your gut microbiome to strengthen your immune function and reduce your risk of inflammatory diseases;
- **Glucoraphanin**, a glucosinolate precur-

**Steaming your broccoli spears for three to four minutes will optimize the sulforaphane content.**

Broccoli sprouts have more cancer cells than the yellow and white onions. It also turns out one of the most important being the dramatically influence they had on study participants' cancer risk. It also turns out that, among five onion varieties, red onions kill between three and four times more cancer cells than the yellow and white ones.

The Canadian study, no doubt prompted at least in part because cancer is that country's leading cause of death, noted that the high levels of flavonoids, specifically quercetin, myricetin and kaempferol, in the five onion types were shown to "exert potential anticancer activities." Further: "All onion varieties exhibited antiproliferative activity similar to purified flavonoids. The cytotoxic effects of the Stanley and Fortress onion varieties were strongest among the selected cultivars." What other nutritional benefits do red onions have compared to white onions? Besides being milder, one advantage is antioxidant activity, which is one reason they have a greater ability to protect against cancer. While both red and white onions help to thin your blood, red onions are better at it due to their rich flavonoid presence. The study also revealed that organic onions have a significantly higher flavonoid content vs. conventional onions.

One more bit of wisdom: The outside skins of onions contain the highest nutrients. If you should remove the outermost layers, you'd also be removing 75 percent of the anthocyanin content. Scientists suggest eating at least one red onion per week to get the most nutritional benefit. There are numerous delicious ways to do this: Cut them up in cold salads, slice them for a colorful, zippy layer on a cheeseburger, and toss them into your sautéed veggies.
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industrial agriculture to diversified agroecological systems. While succeeding in growing large volumes of food, the report noted that the current industrial model has generated “negative outcomes on multiple fronts,” including:

“Diversified agroecological systems can improve biodiversity and pave the way for diverse diets and improved health.”

widespread degradation of land, water and ecosystems; biodiversity losses; persistent hunger and micronutrient deficiencies; a rapid rise in obesity and diet-related diseases, and livelihood stresses for farmers. The growing of monoculture crops and widespread reliance on CAFOs, which in turn depend on chemical fertilizers, pesticides and preventive use of antibiotics, were specifically mentioned as downsides of the system. While tweaking some of these practices could help, the report noted that even this would "not provide long-term solutions to the multiple problems it generates."

According to IPES-Food: "What is required is a fundamentally different model of agriculture based on diversifying farms and farming landscapes, replacing chemical inputs, optimizing biodiversity and stimulating interactions between different species, as part of holistic strategies to build long-term fertility, healthy agro-ecosystems and secure livelihoods, i.e., ‘diversified agroecological systems.’ There is growing evidence that these systems keep carbon in the ground, support biodiversity, rebuild soil fertility and sustain yields over time, providing a basis for secure farm livelihoods. Data shows that these systems can compete with industrial agriculture in terms of total outputs, performing particularly strongly under environmental stress, and delivering production increases in the places where additional food is desperately needed. Diversified agroecological systems can also pave the way for diverse diets and improved health."

Pesticide makers like Monsanto are in a unique position to profit not only from the sales of chemicals like glyphosate (the active ingredient in Roundup herbicide) but also the sale of GE seeds designed to go with them. A number of food and agriculture industry front groups, many of them backed by corporate donors including Monsanto, DuPont, Dow Chemical, BASF and major food companies like Coca-Cola and Kraft, spend more than $25 million a year to improve the steadily declining image of industrial agriculture. Ikerd noted, "The agricultural establishment seems to consider their PR campaign as little more than a ‘holding action’ against growing public concerns. They are using their political power to establish legislative protections that would prevent effective regulation.”

Meanwhile, an increasing number of people are suing Monsanto over claims that exposure to Roundup caused them to develop non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Most of the approval process for glyphosate was based on studies Monsanto had done by outside contractors, a process that began in the late 1970s and concluded around 1983 with the registration of the chemical. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency released a paper in October 2015 stating that glyphosate is not likely to be carcinogenic to humans, even though it was determined to be a "probable carcinogen" by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), which is the research arm of the World Health Organization (WHO). In April 2016, the EPA posted this WHO report online, briefly, before pulling it and claiming it was not yet final and had been posted by mistake. According to Sustainable Pulse, Monsanto was also able to persuade the EPA to change the classification of glyphosate from a Class C Carcinogen (suggestive carcinogenic potential) to Class E, which means there is evidence of noncarcinogenicity in humans. The change occurred while Monsanto was creating Roundup Ready genetically engineered (GE) crops.

A team of 900 scientists funded by the World Bank and United Nations determined that the use of industrialized agriculture including GE crops is simply not a meaningful solution to the complex situation of world hunger. Instead, the scientists suggested that agroecological methods would provide the most viable means to ensure global food security, including the use of traditional seed varieties and local farming practices already adapted to the local ecology. Industrial agriculture ensures that the business of food is highly concentrated, not only in terms of being a monoculture with very few crop varieties available, but also in terms of ownership of these few precious crops. This concentrated power of food diversity and of the food supply actually ensures food insecurity. Meanwhile, problems with hunger are typically not related to a shortage in food production but rather to poverty.

**Michigan Grass-fed Beef:**
*Humanely-raised at Lamb Farm in Manchester, MI*

**Porterhouse & T-Bone Steaks only $13.99/lb**

problems with the way that food is used and distributed and the types of food being grown in the first place.

At present, most governments around the world are subsidizing and/or promoting a food production system that is unsustainable. Moreover, it's done at the cost of both human and environmental health. Yet, research suggests a switch to sustainable agriculture could easily be done, allowing farmers to produce the same amount of food on the same amount of land while cutting out chemical fertilizers. As IPES-Food noted, “Change is already happening. Industrial food systems are being challenged on multiple fronts, from new forms of cooperation and knowledge-creation to the development of new market relationships that bypass conventional retail circuits.” You can help to prompt significant change in the agricultural industry by boycotting CAFO and GE products and instead purchasing food grown organically and without chemicals, from local sources supporting the development of a sustainable food system.

$2 OFF
your next purchase
of $15 or more at
Arbor Farms Market.

Limit one coupon per visit. No cash value. Valid through July 31, 2017.

July Specials

Enjoy Michigan’s Harvest

Arriving in July:
Local Produce from Local Growers

Lamb Farm - Manchester  Homer Organic Farms
Stone Coop - Brighton  Schwartz Farm - Quincy
Seeley Farm - Ann Arbor  Black Pearl - Ann Arbor

Effective July 3 through July 30, 2016
Alden’s Organic Ice Cream, asstd. 48 oz.          $5.99
Clif & Luna Bars, select 1.6-2.4 oz.              5/$5
Maple Hill Organic Kefir, Grass-fed 32 oz.       $4.99
Garden of Eatin’ Organic Corn Chips asstd 16 oz  $3.99
Michigan Beer Madness, select 6/12 oz.         $8.99
Hazana Rioja, 750 ml                                  $9.99
Tom’s of Maine Toothpaste, entire line...... 20% OFF
Avalon Organic Hair Care, entire line...... 20% OFF

Effective July 3 through July 16, 2016
Organic Cherries                                $5.99/lb
Organic Seedless Grapes, Red or White           $2.99/lb
Organic Blueberries, 6-oz pkg.                  $2.99
Grass-fed Beef Top Sirloin Steaks               $8.99/lb
Miller Poultry Boneless Chicken Thighs          $3.49/lb
Wild-caught Sockeye Salmon Fillets, USA         $19.99/lb
Michigan Grass-fed T-Bone Steaks + Porterhs     $13.99/lb
Seeley Farm Organic Greens, 5-oz pkg.           $4.99
Organic Bi-Color Corn, in the husk.             4/$3

Herb Pharm Herbal Tinctures ........... 20% OFF entire line!